## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

CLRB HANSON INDU	JSTRIES, LLC,	)		
	Plaintiffs,	)		
v. GOOGLE, INC.,		) ) )	Case No. 05-03639	JW
3003,		)		
	Defendant.	) )		

## DEPOSITION OF HOWARD STERN

August 16, 2006

227871



	1		H. Stern
:27	2	Α.	Yes.
:27	3	Q.	Is that an incentive for you to
:27	4	sign up for A	AdWords?
:27	5	Α.	Right. It's a good reason,
:27	6	right.	
:27	7	Q.	And then it goes on to say,
:27	8	"This is the	same no matter how you choose to
:27	9	pay for your	advertising."
:27	10		Do you see that?
:27	11	Α.	Yes.
:27	12	Q.	Okay. So why did you switch to
:27	13	Google from Y	Yahoo?
:27	14	Α.	Because Yahoo had a monthly
:27	15	minimum that	I needed to pay, whether or not
:27	16	I even ran th	ne ads.
:27	17	Q.	And Google?
:27	18	Α.	They had no minimum.
:27	19	Q.	And, in fact, they told you they
:28	20	had no minimu	um; correct?
:28	21	Α.	Right.
:28	22		MR. BIDERMAN: Objection.
:28	23	Assumes	s facts not in evidence.
:28	24		MR. LEVY: Do you want to change
:28	25	the tap	pe now?
	ļ		